

## Articles on Hillary Clinton and Israel

This presidential election pits two New Yorkers against each other, though Hillary was not born there, she lives there and her career belongs there. Donald Trump has no history of policy. Hillary Clinton has years of complicated policy.

Breitbart is likely to back Republican positions, and so is biased. However, they say to look at what Hillary has done, which is more objectively evaluated.

It is easy to find several anti-Israel things Clinton has done. These include: supporting the Iran deal, which places Israel in grave danger as Iran slowly expands its nuclear program and continues supporting terrorists; tattacking on Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu for approving apartments in a Jewish neighborhood of Jerusalem; comparing Israel to Iran and the Jim Crow South; and kissing Yasser Arafat's wife, Suha (above), after she falsely accused Israel of using poison gas against Palestinians. While at the State Department, Clinton also surrounded herself with anti-Israel advisers and distributed anti-Israel articles. And her running mate, Sen. Tim Kaine (D-VA), not only backed the Iran deal, but actually boycotted Netanyahu's speech to Congress last year.

For example, the website claims Clinton led the way on imposing sanctions on Iran, but in fact her State Department opposed new sanctions again and again. It also claims she negotiated a cease-fire between Israel and the Palestinians over Gaza. She was involved in talks, but Hamas started violence again soon afterward, and Clinton removed that claim from her biography.

<http://www.breitbart.com/big-government/2016/08/12/hillary-clinton-not-done-one-thing-israel/>

Breitbart found 5 issues

1. Attacking Bernie Sanders for his religion. Last month, Wikileaks released emails showing that senior officials in the Democratic National Committee (DNC) discussed helping Clinton by targeting Sen. Bernie Sanders (I-VT) for being a Jew and, they believed, possibly an atheist. "Does he believe in a God. He had scated on saying he has a Jewish heritage. I think I read he is an atheist. This could make several points difference with my peeps." The scandal forced several resignations.
2. Embracing the antisemitic Black Lives Matter movement. Clinton has tied herself closely to the Black Lives Matter (BLM) organization, whose platform accuses Israel of "genocide." Clinton supporter Alan Dershowitz said: "Until and unless Black Lives Matter removes this blood libel from its platform and renounces it, no decent person — black, white, or of any other racial or ethnic background — should have anything to do with it." Clinton has yet to renounce BLM or its views.
3. Promoting anti-Israel articles by anti-Israel authors. The State Department's email dump of Clinton's correspondence revealed that she often shared and promoted articles attacking Israel. Some of them referred to the work of Max Blumenthal, the rabidly anti-Israel author who compares Israel to Nazi Germany and is the son of her closest adviser, Sidney Blumenthal. Clinton was forced to distance herself from the younger Blumenthal after he attacked the late Elie Wiesel.
4. Kissing and embracing terrorist Yasser Arafat's wife after she accused Israel of gassing Palestinians. In 2000, on a visit to the West Bank, Clinton kissed Suha Arafat (above) after the latter "accused the Israeli government of poisoning Palestinian women and children with toxic gas." .. Clinton's ...explanation for her behavior: "I did what I was expected to do."
5. Supporting the Iran deal, the ransom, and Tim Kaine. Clinton not only supported the Iran deal, but takes credit for the early negotiations that led to it. The deal shovels billions of dollars to the most antisemitic, terrorist regime in the world. She failed to condemn the \$400 million cash ransom paid for American hostages. And she chose Sen. Tim Kaine (D-VA) as her running mate, who not only backed the deal but boycotted Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu's speech in 2015.  
<http://www.breitbart.com/big-government/2016/08/19/hillary-clinton-campaign-accuses-breitbart-news-antisemitism/>

Where does Clinton stand on Israel when she writes her opinion to an audience who thinks she is wonderful?

It seems that Clinton has ignored that common sense bit of wisdom, by slamming the nation of Israel in her latest memoir, *Hard Choices* where she calls the Jewish nation an “occupying force in Palestine.” Clinton recounts her time visiting the Middle East and being shocked at witnessing “life under occupation for Palestinians.” This seems to indicate that Clinton, a supposed raging liberal .. has a bit of a bias against Israel.

According to *Free Beacon*, the former First Lady writes, “When we left the city and visited Jericho, in the West Bank, I got my first glimpse of life under occupation for Palestinians, who were denied the dignity and self-determination that Americans take for granted.”

It seems that Hillary Clinton shares President Obama’s anti-colonialist ideology, which sees Israel as “evil” since they’re an “occupying force,” making Hamas a group of rebels fighting for the noble cause of freedom. <http://conservativetribune.com/clinton-attacks-israel-conquerors/>

Why is Clinton often said to be pro Israel? In 2015 the *Jerusalem Post* looked at her career.

she was a frequent speaker at Jewish organizations, starting with a 1993 gig at the Reform movement’s biennial convention where she put a Jewish spin on her health care reform plan:

In 1994 she and President Bill Clinton attended Rosh Hashanah services on Martha’s Vineyard, Massachusetts, in what JTA reported “is believed to be the first time that a US president has attended a High Holiday service. In September of 1995 the first lady keynoted for two Jewish organizations in two days: the United Jewish Appeal’s National Women’s Campaign Lion of Judah Conference and the Chicago regional office of the American Committee for the Weizmann Institute of Science in Israel.

But her honeymoon with the Jewish establishment drew to a close in 1998, when, in a satellite hookup with Israeli, Palestinian and Jordanian teens from the Seeds of Peace group, Clinton said, in response to a question, “I think it will be in the long-term interests of the Middle East for Palestine to be a state.”

“The comment, including at least seven other references to statehood for the Palestinians and the word ‘Palestine’ set off a fury in Israel and among many American Jewish groups,” JTA reported, adding that her “words stand in direct contradiction to US policy, which for decades opposed the creation of a Palestinian state.”

Things only got worse a year later when, during a visit with Palestinian Authority President Yasser Arafat’s wife Suha, Clinton did not immediately condemn the Palestinian first lady’s claim that Israel was using poison gas to pollute the West Bank’s water and land.

Clinton, who by then had already made clear she planned to run for the Senate in 2000, faced a storm of criticism, along with the widespread publication of a photo of her kissing Mrs. Arafat, but she defended herself by saying that the remarks “were not as harsh in the English translation that she heard.”

In the months that followed, Clinton’s Jewish critics seized on various other missteps, including an appearance at Rev. Al Sharpton’s headquarters.

<http://www.jpost.com/International/As-first-lady-Hillary-was-a-prized-Jewish-keynoter-until-she-kissed-Suha-Arafat-398658>

As Bible students what is said of the Mountains of Israel is important. Not even considering the nature of the Palestinians (aka Philistines) and their perpetual hatred of Jews (and Christians), Hillary Clinton in 1998 made a stand against the God of the Bible. The only reason for putting a new and Arab Muslim state on the area required by Israel for security, is to destroy Israel. She ignores the fact that Gaza was called Palestine (the land of the Philistines) and was already a Palestinian state. She must have forgotten that no sooner was another Arab state made than it was taken over and declared war on Israel with an intent to wipe Israel of the map. She was a *leader* of the Anti- Israel moves since pursued by the American Administration.

In 1998 Hillary had a significant impact, by showing an attitude, that came at that time solely from her.

First lady Hillary Clinton went off script during a 1998 satellite address to a group of Israeli and Palestinian teens meeting as part of the organization Seeds of Peace.

“I think that it will be in the long-term interest of the Middle East for Palestine to be a state, to be a state that is responsible for its citizens’ well-being, a state that has responsibility for providing education and health care and economic opportunity to its citizens,” she said, responding to a question about why she had referred to “Palestine,” a country that does not yet exist.

Her spokesperson Marsha Berry quickly insisted that Clinton was expressing a “personal” view that did not reflect American policy. But to pro-Israel activists and politicians, the damage was already done.

The timing of Clinton’s comments “could not have been worse,” American Jewish Committee President Robert Fikind said at the time.

Her statements “indicate that the Clinton-Gore administration has chosen to align itself with the Palestinians and Yasser Arafat against the people of Israel,” thundered Sen. Al D’Amato, R-N.Y. Republican New York Mayor Rudy Giuliani said Clinton made a “very big mistake.”

<https://theintercept.com/2016/05/17/hillary-clinton-wasnt-always-this-one-sided-on-israel/>

This article covers the changes in posture according to political opportunism- but our focus is on her role in changing the dialogue. She led the way to Oslo. There is a photo that rather suggests a friendly relationship between Arafat and Hillary Clinton.

The occasion for the July 26, 1999 photograph shown here was a gathering of several world leaders in Morocco for the funeral of King Hassan II, during which meetings centered on pushing forward the Middle East peace process occurred between President Clinton, Israeli prime minister Ehud Barak, and Palestinian president Arafat.

<http://www.snopes.com/clintons-arafat/#>

This set up for the events in 2000 when Ehud Barak was forced by the Clintons to make an offer to a terrorist at the Camp David summit. Note they are found smiling in Arafat's company at the funeral and not in Ehud Barak's company.

The intention of the gathering (at Camp David) was, ostensibly, to negotiate a final settlement of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict in accordance with the 1993 Oslo agreement. The parties were unable to come to an agreement, however, and a wave of Palestinian violence soon engulfed Israel.

<http://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/Peace/cd2000art.html>

Many Israelis died. It might be added that it did no good to the Arabs either. The point is that the intent to take the mountains from Israel, facilitated by the Clintons, were frustrated.

In 2000 when she was seeking support of New York Jews.

Asked why she kissed Suha Arafat, the wife of Yasir Arafat, after Mrs. Arafat made scathing remarks about Israel during a joint appearance in November. During the November joint appearance in Ramallah, Mrs. Arafat, speaking in Arabic, accused the Israeli government of poisoning Palestinian women and children with toxic gas. She said the translation of Mrs. Arafat's remarks that she was given in earphones during the West Bank event was different, and far less offensive,

Mrs. Clinton, who was asked by another member of the audience in Queens Village if she had changed her "pro-Arab" views, responded by saying that she and President Clinton had been supporters of Israel throughout their political careers <http://www.nytimes.com/2000/07/14/nyregion/mrs-clinton-explains-kiss-in-middle-east.html>

See how *they know* she supported Arabs. She only 'supported' Israel in her political career.

So delving back further, as there is perhaps some verbal obfuscation in what Hillary said in 2000, in her limiting of their verbal support to their “political careers”, and a reason why they know she supported Arabs. There is a long history.

In his book *American Evita* on page 49, Christopher Anderson writes.

“ At a time when elements of the American Left embraced the Palestinian cause and condemned Israel, Hillary was telling friends that she was “sympathetic” to the terrorist organization and admired its flamboyant leader, Yasser Arafat. When Arafat made his famous appearance before the UN General Assembly in November 1974 wearing his revolutionary uniform and his holster on his hip, Bill “was outraged like everybody else,” said a Yale Law School classmate. But not Hillary, who tried to convince Bill that Arafat was a “freedom fighter” trying to free his people from their Israeli “oppressors.”

On page 50 of the same book, the author relates a 1973 anti-Semitic incident where she Hillary refused to enter a home that a menorah on its door. ” According to the friend and another eyewitness, Bill said, “I’m sorry, but Hillary’s really tight with the people in the PLO in New York. They’re friends of hers, and she just doesn’t feel right about the menorah.”

In May 1998 Ms. Clinton became the first person attached to any presidential administration ever to call for a Palestinian State.

In November 1999, while first lady, she went on a purported state visit to the Middle East. Hillary was at a public appearance with Yasser Arafat’s wife Suha, and listened to Mrs. Arafat made a slanderous allegation: Mrs. Clinton called on all sides to refrain from “inflammatory rhetoric and baseless accusations.” ..Though Israel had said nothing.

The Clintons also had a connection to what was back then, the world’s biggest exporter of terrorism, Saudi Arabia. The Saudi Royal Family donated \$10,000,000 to the Clinton Library. According to a 1993 New York Times article, Prince Turki bin Feisal was a college classmate of Bill’s at Georgetown University and (at the time of the article’s writing) was the head of the Saudi Arabian intelligence service. While he was still governor of Arkansas, it looks like Bill Clinton cashed in on that relationship, “work[ing] hard to secure a multimillion-dollar Saudi donation to a Middle Eastern studies program at the University of Arkansas.”

Clinton’s demand for a building freeze in existing settlement communities broke a US/Israel agreement made during the Bush administration. While she said there was never an agreement between Israel and the US about natural expansion of existing settlements, Elliot Abrams who negotiated the agreement for the United States said Ms. Clinton’s contention is simply not true.

As Secretary of State, Hillary Clinton first demanded the “settlement” freeze in 2009 and was quickly backed up by Obama. What she perceived as a minor concession (a “settlement” freeze including no new housing units in existing communities) was for Israel a grave sacrifice. For all intents and purposes Clinton was telling Israeli parents their married children could no longer live in their neighborhoods

This was a major error by the Clinton State Department and it was compounded by the inclusion of Jerusalem in the mix and the constant public berating of the Jewish State by Clinton and Obama. But mostly Ms. Clinton. In July 2014 she told CNN’s Fareed Zakaria, “I was often the designated yell. Something would happen, a new settlement announcement would come and I would call him up, “What are you doing, you’ve got to stop this.”

As Secretary of State, Hillary acted as if the Jewish State would give her cooties, compared to others in her position she stayed away from Israel. ... Clinton made only five visits to Israel — the least of any full-term secretary of state since William Rogers, who served in the Nixon administration.”

Based on their experience with Clinton denying the deal negotiated by Elliot Abrams during the Bush Administration, Israel demanded that any proposal be presented in writing, as any oral deal with Clinton and the Obama administration was worth the paper on which is was printed on.

The written offer never came because Clinton, the Secretary of State wasn’t negotiating in good faith....As Israel waited for a letter clarifying America’s guarantees in exchange for a proposed building

ban for Jewish residents of Judea and Samaria, a diplomatic source finally came forward saying that no such letter is on its way. Hillary Clinton misled Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu. The source, a senior diplomat with inside knowledge of Netanyahu's recent meetings in Washington, said Clinton made commitments when talking to Netanyahu, but later slipped out of her commitments by claiming that she had not been speaking on behalf of U.S. President Obama, did not the end, did not give his approval.

In 2011 speaking at the at the Saban Center for Middle East Policy part of the liberal Brookings Institute, Clinton trashed Israel by expressing concern for Jewish State's social climate in the wake of limitations regarding female singing in the IDF and gender segregation on public transportation. Both were accommodations made to the Orthodox communities in Israel and Hillary's complaints were based on false information. Clinton referred to the decision of some IDF soldiers to leave an event where female soldiers were singing; Clinton's statement was part of the continued attempt by the Obama administration/Clinton State Department to de-legitimize the Israeli democracy and destroy one of the reasons for American support of Israel,

her book "Hard Choices" which included some anti-Israel passages:

"When we left the city and visited Jericho, in the West Bank, I got my first glimpse of life under occupation for Palestinians, who were denied the dignity and self-determination that Americans take for granted" (pg 302).

She says nothing about terrorism, such as blowing up buses with school children, nothing about the fact that during he presidency of her husband Yassir Arafat turned down a deal that would have given him about 98% of what he wanted (at least that's what Bill Clinton said).

"The sticking point would be Jerusalem. East Jerusalem had been captured along with the West bank in 1967, and Palestinians dreamed of one day establishing the capital of their future state there." (pg 317). Hillary's statement is totally biased. Israel didn't capture Jerusalem; Jordan did in 1948. Jews were the majority of the Jerusalem Population from 1844 through the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948 when they were kicked out by Jordan.

And then there are the Clinton emails. While most of the media coverage of the emails from her close friend Sidney Blumenthal are about his recommendations about Libya. Blumenthal sent many emails about Israel. Some of them consisted of forwarding articles from his anti-Semitic son, writer Max Blumenthal. But others were recommendations of policy, generally one sided describing Israel as the oppressor. As reported by NRO:

"Blumenthal sent dozens of e-mails advising Clinton on Israel in 2010. Before her March speech at the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC), Blumenthal sent Clinton a breathless article from left-wing Israeli writer Uri Avnery accusing the Netanyahu government of "starting a rebellion" against the United States and defending interests that diverge from America's. "I have to speak to AIPAC tomorrow," Clinton responded. "How — and should I — use this [sic]?" Blumenthal promised to send another memo the next day."

In that memo, he instructed Clinton to "hold Bibi [Netanyahu]'s feet to the fire" on the Israeli-Palestinian peace process. "Perhaps most controversial," he continued, would be for Clinton to "remind [AIPAC] in as subtle but also direct a way as you can that it does not have a monopoly over American Jewish opinion. Bibi is stage managing US Jewish organizations (and neocons, and the religious right, and whomever else he can muster) against the administration. AIPAC itself has become an organ of the Israeli right, specifically Likud."

By the way, if you asked Likud, they would claim that AIPAC favors Israel's leftist parties.

On May 17, Blumenthal forwarded Clinton an article on the Israeli government's decision to deny professor and Palestinian activist Noam Chomsky access to the West Bank. "Barring him for his political opinions has created a needless PR disaster," he wrote. "The US should not be a passive onlooker. . . . The US effort on his behalf to gain entry should be part of the story." Clinton forwarded the memo to staff with instructions to "pls print 3 copies."

Chomsky has been fierce in his opposition to Israel's right to defend herself from terrorism (some even say he is an anti-Semite), and had been officially banned from the country since 2010

In an e-mail from May 31 entitled "Several observations on the Israeli raid," Blumenthal blames Israeli Prime Minister Bibi Netanyahu's family inferiority complex for his decision to launch a raid on the so-called "Gaza Flotilla," a group of ships seeking to break the Israeli blockade of Gaza. "Bibi desperately seeks his father's approbation and can never equal his dead brother," Blumenthal wrote. He then hinted that the raid was deliberately orchestrated to kill the peace process and humiliate President Obama before his scheduled visit with the prime minister. Clinton forwarded the message to Jake Sullivan, her deputy chief of staff at the State Department. "FYI and I told you so," she wrote.

<http://lidblog.com/hillary-tells-aipac-she-wont-be-neutral-true-shes-always-been-anti-israel/>

Haaretz tries to soften it but has to admit an issue.

When it comes to Israel, candidate Clinton's public statements have always been particularly carefully crafted, walking a tightrope

But three- and four-year-old emails from the Clinton private server have opened a window into advice her close aides fed her

The first is an email from former career diplomat and US Ambassador to Israel Thomas Pickering sent in December 2011 which proposes that the US somehow surreptitiously back a widespread Palestinian protest movement. .. she ordered an aide to print the email

Another out-of-the-box idea came from Anne-Marie Slaughter, who, unlike Pickering, was an active member of Clinton's staff at the time, working as director of Policy Planning in the State Department. In a September 28, 2010 Email, Slaughter suggests that the State Department consider creating a "Pledge for Palestine" ..Slaughter argued that "Such a campaign among billionaires/multi-millionaires around the world would reflect a strong vote of confidence in the building of a Palestinian state.

Blumenthal, a proud father, frequently sent his son's work to the Secretary of State - not always, but frequently about Israel. Clinton responds approvingly at various junctures, calling Max's work "interesting reading" or "a very smart piece - as usual" or "Pls congratulate Max for another impressive piece. He's so good."

<http://www.haaretz.com/opinion/1.697675>

Until the email exposure Shulmeyer Boteach was accused of making non existent links. Now he writes the following, with what was hidden now fully exposed. It is interesting to note that those who would have no love for Israel have been raised up at this time to cause Hilary Clinton grief. Shulmeyer Boteach writes,

The well-known proverb declares you can tell a lot about a person by the company they keep.

Last summer, in the wake of the impending Iran deal, which she herself helped to create and vocally supported, Hillary reached out to calm the jitters of her wealthiest Democratic Jewish supporters in an attempt to convince them that she would always support Israel. She also emphasized that she utterly condemns the Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions movement,

During a speech in 2012 she spoke of Israel's "lack of generosity" and "lack of empathy" toward the Palestinians. She admitted that during her time as secretary of state she oftentimes was the "designated yeller" at Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu. She once yelled at him for 45 minutes when Israel granted permits to build houses in the eastern neighborhoods of its capital Jerusalem during Vice President Joe Biden's visit to Israel.

But with the recent dumps of emails from Hillary's private Internet server the public has received an in-depth look at the very important role that Sidney Blumenthal played for Hillary during her time in the Obama administration.

Blumenthal is one of Hillary's closest advisers and a longtime family friend. He was a senior adviser during Bill Clinton's presidency and served again as senior adviser for Hillary's failed 2008 run for the

White House. Blumenthal was clearly a man whose advice Hillary trusted and she was willing to pay him \$10,000 a month for his services.

Max is a writer and self-declared “anti-Zionist,” known for his active support of the BDS movement and his calls for the dismantling of the State of Israel. He trolls pro-Israel writers, as I can personally attest. Max’s widely panned 2013 book *Goliath, Life and Loathing in Greater Israel* is full of anti-Israel rants, omissions and outright lies. In it, he repeatedly compares the Jewish state to Nazi Germany, and advocates that the majority of Jews currently living in Israel must be removed from the land to make way for a Palestinian state.

Sidney Blumenthal has not only failed to ever condemn his son’s anti-Israel writings, but has actively advocated for and defended the warped, outrageous ideas. But even more concerning than all of this is that Hillary Clinton, the nation’s chief diplomat, valued Max Blumenthal’s disturbed anti-Israel rants so much that she forked out \$120,000 a year to his dad to keep the flow of information coming.

Among the emails Sidney Blumenthal sent to Hillary is a link to a November 2010 blog post written by his son. In it, Max attempts to equate the views and policies of far Right Dutch politician Geert Wilders with those of Israel. He continues, “They richly enjoy when Israel mows down Arab Muslims by the dozens and tells the world to go to hell; they admire Israel’s settler culture.” Max also writes, “Most of all, they yearn to live in a land like Israel that privileges its ethnic majority above all others to the point that it systematically humiliates and dispossesses the swarthy racial outclass.” He adds, “The endgame of the far-right is to make Europe less tolerant and more Israeli.”

What was Hillary’s response to this racist, anti-Israel tirade? She writes back to Blumenthal, “A very smart piece – as usual.”

Before her speech to AIPAC in March of 2010, Blumenthal sent Hillary a column written by Uri Avnery claiming Israel was pursuing goals contrary to the United States’ interests while “starting a rebellion” against the US. Hillary’s response to Blumenthal was, “I have to speak to AIPAC tomorrow. How – and should I – use this?” Blumenthal responds that with regard to the Israeli-Palestinian peace process, Hillary should, “Hold Bibi’s [Netanyahu’s] feet to the fire.” Blumenthal also recommended to Hillary to try and mention in a positive light the George Soros-funded organization J Street, which is fiercely critical of the Jewish state. Blumenthal tells Hillary to “remind [AIPAC] in as subtle but also direct a way as you can that it does not have a monopoly over American Jewish opinion

Blumenthal also offered some truly bizarre analysis in an email to Hillary in the aftermath of the 2010 Gaza flotilla raid. During that operation Israeli soldiers boarded a Turkish vessel approaching the Gaza Strip, where they were brutally attacked, stabbed and thrown off ledges by the ship’s passengers, resulting in a shootout and casualties, as video evidence of the event makes clear. However, back in May of that year, before any of the facts had been properly clarified, Blumenthal provided Hillary with an armchair psychoanalysis of the events in which he states, “Bibi desperately seeks his father’s approbation and can never equal his dead brother.” Blumenthal explains that this must be what led Netanyahu to order the operation,

Throughout all of this, there is no email showing Hillary objecting to any of these anti-Israel articles and opinions that Blumenthal continually sent her.

<http://www.jpost.com/Opinion/No-Holds-Barred-Hillarys-Clintons-troubling-relationship-with-Israel-hating-adviser-441158>

If it was only one or two you would say there is an exception, but there are more.

Perhaps Clinton’s closest adviser is Huma Abedin. She has wisely kept her views on Israel close to her chest but her past flirtations with the fascist Muslim Brotherhood are undeniable as noted by FPM’s Joseph Klein. Abedin and her Saudi-connected parents have held high-level positions with various Muslim affiliated organizations that have openly adopted extremely hostile views toward the Jewish State. <http://www.frontpagemag.com/fpm/261443/hillarys-emails-hating-israel-ari-lieberman>

The shift between stances and her inconsistency is clearly showing the bias revealed in the emails.

In 1999, when Clinton was running for senator, she told Jewish leaders she considers Jerusalem "the eternal and indivisible capital" of Israel - a statement she later partially backtracked on - adding that she will advocate moving the US Embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem. In a letter she wrote at the time, she said that "If I am chosen by New Yorkers to be their senator, or in whatever position I find myself in the years to come, you can be sure that I will be an active, committed advocate for a strong and secure Israel, able to live in peace with its neighbors, with the United States Embassy located in its capital, Jerusalem."

In 2011, Clinton made comments showing a shift on her stance on Israel's capital when she was senator, warning against American action towards recognizing Jerusalem as the capital of Israel, saying that it would jeopardize the peace process.

In 2012, the then Secretary of State reiterated her stance that the Palestinian Authority "took a step in wrong direction" with its UN bid, but also called on Israel to make efforts to advance peace talks.

"Israel needs to help those committed to peace," she added, referring to Abbas and then-Palestinian Prime Minister Salam Fayyad, whom she lauded for their achievements in overhauling institutions in the PA and cooperating with Israel over security.

Speaking at the 2012 Saban Forum, Clinton recalled how late Palestinian President Yasser Arafat rejected then-prime minister Ehud Barak's peace proposal at Camp David, and how Arafat called her husband, Bill Clinton, years later, after the latter was no longer in office, and asked to accept the deal.

Alongside heartfelt conclusions of friendly ties with Israel, Clinton spared no criticism of Israeli diplomacy, saying that nothing will ensure the future of Israel than peace: "Without peace, Israel will be forced to build ever more powerful defenses against ever more dangerous rockets.

"And without peace, the inexorable math of demographics will, one day, force Israelis to choose between preserving their democracy and remaining a Jewish homeland."

(In effect you are not strong, will die if you don't give up the land... )

In 2013, the Secretary of State delivered a stinging rebuke to Prime Minister Netanyahu for his government's announcement of new Jewish housing in east Jerusalem.

In an interview with CNN, Clinton said the move was "insulting" to the US. "We have to make clear to our Israeli friends and partner that the two-state solution which we support, which the prime minister himself said he supports, requires confidence-building measures on both sides," she said.

Clinton sat down for another interview with CNN in 2014 in which she criticized Israel's settlement activity, saying it is her "biggest complaint with the Israeli government."

"I am a strong supporter of Israel, strong supporter of their right to defend themselves. But the continuing settlements which have been denounced by successive American administrations on both sides of the aisle are clearly a terrible signal to send if at the same time you claim you're looking for a two-state solution. "

Later that year, Clinton recapped her years as Secretary of State in an informal farewell party by Israeli American businessman Haim Saban, where she spoke with affection of the State of Israel, saying that defending Israel was not only a policy issue but also a personal one.

"Protecting Israel's future is not simply a question of policy for me, it's personal. I've talked with some of you I've known for a while about the first trip Bill and I took to Israel so many years ago, shortly after our daughter was born.

"And I have seen the great accomplishments," Clinton added; "the pride of the desert blooming and the start-ups springing up. I've held hands with the victims of terrorism in their hospital rooms, visited a



bombed-out pizzeria in Jerusalem, walked along the fence near Gilo. And I know with all my heart how important it is that our relationship go from strength to strength."

In an interview with the Atlantic published in 2014, Clinton said

"I think Israel did what it had to do to respond to Hamas rockets. Israel has a right to defend itself. The steps Hamas has taken to embed rockets and command and control facilities and tunnel entrances in civilian areas, this makes a response by Israel difficult,"

<http://www.i24news.tv/en/news/international/americas/67519-150413-hillary-clinton-s-policy-towards-israel>

People may wonder, what does she really believe, as she shifts stance, at least in her public persona. One thing is for sure the private emails were not intend to ever be public, and what is hidden is more likely to be truth than what is said, and they are in accord with the *trend* of all her actions.

In recent article by the Washington Examiner

She refers in her book to the relative birth rates in Israel and the Palestinian territories and concludes that "we [are] approaching the day when Palestinians would make up a majority of the combined population of Israel and the Palestinian territories, and most of those Palestinians would be relegated to second class citizenship and unable to vote." This is an old canard, echoed by John Kerry. The demographics are almost certainly wrong (Israel's population growth has been steady, while the Palestinians' has been falling), but the politics are pernicious. Israel's Arab citizens have full rights. They vote, own property, comment in the newspapers, and serve in the Knesset and on the Supreme Court. Some even fight in the IDF. One of the heroes of the current conflict is Col. Ghassan Alian, a Druze.

Clinton went on to offer her preferred diplomatic course -- and guess what? -- it's indistinguishable from John Kerry's. Whom should the U.S. encourage to serve as interlocutors? Why, Qatar and Turkey, said Clinton. That would be the same Qatar that is the chief financial backer of the Muslim Brotherhood and Hamas. And that would be the same Turkey that is a cheerleader and supporter of Hamas, whose prime minister recently declared that Israel had "surpassed Hitler in barbarism"?

Not only is Clinton's advice inconsistent with friendship toward Israel, it's also inexplicable as a matter of American interests. As the alliances are shifting in the region, there is a rare agreement among Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Jordan, the United Arab Emirates and the Palestinian Authority that Israel ought to be permitted to disarm and neutralize the threat from Hamas.

So the U.S. position is more damaging to Israel that that proposed by Egypt.

What was Clinton's rationale for suggesting that we rely on Qatar and Turkey? "Hamas may feel like they're totally cornered," she explained. "They've got Egypt on one side and Israel [and I don't blame them at all] ... on the other."

<http://www.washingtonexaminer.com/israel-supporters-beware-of-hillary-clinton/article/2551389>

Then lastly we have who Hillary chose to run as her Vice President. Tim Kaine. As mentioned already he was so against Netanyahu that he would not listen to him. Now why?

In 1976, he graduated from Rockhurst High School, a Jesuit all-boys preparatory school in Kansas City, Missouri.

Kaine received his B.A. in economics from the University of Missouri in 1979, completing his degree in three years and graduating summa cum laude. He entered Harvard Law School in 1979, interrupting his law studies after his first year to work in Honduras for nine months from 1980 to 1981, helping Jesuit missionaries who ran a Catholic school in El Progreso.

The Jesuits were cunning leaders in the counter reformation, they often ingratiated themselves to convert. They seeks to use education to subvert the more objective education by Protestants or Jews, and also to control education (and by so doing the minds of others).

What does the Creator and Sustainer, the Living God who looks into the minds and the intents of the heart make of this?